

**Subject: ALLEGATION OF PERJURY AGAINST MEREDYDD HUGHES**



**Subject: ALLEGATION OF PERJURY AGAINST MEREDYDD HUGHES**

**From: "Tony" <Tony@JAHTruth.net>**

**Date: Wed, September 10, 2014 8:34 pm**

**To: [vazk@parliament.uk](mailto:vazk@parliament.uk)**

**Cc: [austini@parliament.uk](mailto:austini@parliament.uk) [nicola.blackwood.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:nicola.blackwood.mp@parliament.uk) [tilleye@parliament.uk](mailto:tilleye@parliament.uk) [michael.ellis.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:michael.ellis.mp@parliament.uk) [paulflynmp@talk21.com](mailto:paulflynmp@talk21.com) [lorraine.fullbrook.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:lorraine.fullbrook.mp@parliament.uk) [julianhuppertmp@gmail.com](mailto:julianhuppertmp@gmail.com) [yasmin.queeshi.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:yasmin.queeshi.mp@parliament.uk) [mark.reckless.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:mark.reckless.mp@parliament.uk) [winnickd@parliament.uk](mailto:winnickd@parliament.uk)**

**Priority: High**

**Dear Mr. Vaz,**

***I hope this finds you well, in good spirit, and having a good day.***

***I am sighted on an email sent to you via David Pidcock, with a header: "When are you going to call Tony Farrell?". Well, I am Tony Farrell.***

***So first of all, let me apologise, because I want to make it clear to you that the actual email sent to you was not mine, and at the time it was sent to you, it had neither my knowledge, nor approval. It should not have been sent and I have subsequently received apologies from David Pidcock which I have accepted.***

***All that said, it is a fact that I have read the Rotherham report, and I have closely followed events affecting South Yorkshire Police, in the Home Affairs Select Committee. In some respects, I believe I have an unique vantage point on few of the issues you raised before the officials yesterday. I was the Principal Intelligence Analyst of South Yorkshire Police up until 2nd September 2010, when I was dismissed for "speaking truth to power" as a whistle-blower assigned to do a threat assessment, to quote from phrases used by Meredydd Hughes, yesterday.***

*"I do not run a police culture where those that bring you bad news and speak truth to power were treated badly, quite the reverse."*

*"I have had no whistle-blowing letters."*

*Both of these statements are untrue. I believe Hughes committed perjury yesterday, and I feel it my duty to alert you to that fact, given the serious nature of the proceedings, as you were at pains to point out.*

*On the 9th September 2011, my 3-day Sheffield Employment Tribunal case for unfair dismissal against Meredydd Hughes, for being a whistle-blower, ruled in favour of South Yorkshire Police. Do these dates of 2nd & 9th September ring a bell, with what you're doing, please? I do not consider Meredydd Hughes spoke the truth yesterday on a number of issues but it was a blatant lie when he mentioned about the forces treatment of whistle-blowers and those that speak truth to power. I am a first hand witness that the exact opposite was the case and my case is well documented.*

*Following the publication of Professor Alexis Jay's report, I wrote to the professor with my own insight on relevant matters. I have yet to receive an acknowledgment from her.*

*In short, I have perhaps, rather an unique vantage point on matters which should be of direct interest to your ongoing proceedings. I believe that I can provide you with some invaluable insight. I do not condone the previous email sent by David Pidcock, with aspects of it purporting to be from me, but it was an email sent with good intent and the question David Pidcock posed to you is a valid one nevertheless.*

*Should you wish to uncover the truth, then please do not hesitate to contact me and I will gladly assist with any questions you or other committee members may wish to put to me. I am copying into this email other members of the Home Affairs Select Committee, and I look forward to your response.*

*Yours sincerely*

*Tony Farrell*