## THE CON IS NOT ON!



On 12 April 2015, at 16:15, tony@jahtruth.net wrote to Richard J Hannah:

Dear Richard,

Thank-you for your email.

May I remind you of what you wrote in your very first email to me.

"I remember her because she wanted us all to sign NDAs before showing us her 'gold mine' of ideas. We all refused, she showed us anyway her ideas were utter, utter pants."

Here's where you break the ninth commandment.

Contrary to what you claim by the "we all refused", you for one signed the NDA at the meeting. The proof of that was attached for your benefit in my original email. It was signed and witnessed by Lisa Pahne. It was dated 11.11.03. Check out my email of the 1st April 2015.

It is there in black and white.

I keep trying to help you by saying that this is no joke, but you are simply not listening. I am trying to help you, not harm you.

I ask again therefore. Do you deny it?

Before you answer that question, please bear in mind that you copied into your original email, a Chief Constable and a Deputy Chief Constable. You also wrote this.

"Publish the emails, I am supposed to have written - You won't cos there are none!"

Again, my attachment in my first email showed that contrary to what you claimed in your first email, you did write at the very least one email to Ms. Seven. The Maximus TV Programme Sellingus one. That was an email from you, was it not Maximus? It had your email address on it.

There are possibly more emails of yours from the witness statements, but I don't have immediate access to all of Seven's court files, just some of them, and in any case, I am not working for Ms. Seven now, I am working for JAH. I am no prophet, but I know Who JAH is, and I say this to the masters of con: long or short, the con is not on!



DAME HELEN ALEXANDER

RICHARD J HANNAH

THE BURNING QUESTION! Was this the same Helen Alexander who met up with Ms. Seven, Lisa Pahne, Jim Manson and Richard J Hannah at Feltham on 11.11.03 and claimed to work for Scottish Media Group? Ms. Seven certainly thinks it is one and the same person! And if our dame is not the one in the frame, as you claim, then let both Helen's turn up in court together and let's all have a good cackle. I will apologise to the dame, if it is demonstrably proven that I have got my facts wrong here, and if she has got nothing to hide, she need have nothing to fear. She is a big girl. However, little old Seven remains convinced that it is the same person who sat before her on 11.11.03. Time alone will tell which side is correct about that. I happen to believe Seven and her evidence rather than you and your non-existent defence.

Just to add this, nowhere in my reports, do I accuse you personally of masterminding the 7/7 bombings, as previously explained. I accuse you of deceitful and criminal practice before Ms. Seven, but I suspect, as analysts are trained to do, that it may go a bit further than that.

Nothing in our round of emails and nothing in your jolly and jovial utterances on the Big Radio Show, have altered my prior conceptual model of you, and my inferences have only been strengthened, rather than weakened.

By the way, for the record, my report was not just 250 or so pages. It was that, plus this below.

http://www.thefarrellreport.net/report\_3\_\_appendices.html

Tony

```
APPENDIX A - CHRONOLOGY STATEMENT.pdf (499K)

APPENDIX B - SEVEN'S PARTICULARS OF CLAIM.pdf (23M)

APPENDIX C - SEVEN'S WITNESS STATEMENT.pdf (91M)

APPENDIX D - AFFIDAVIT.pdf (4.2M)

APPENDIX E - BEFORE CHADWICK APR 06.pdf (13M)

APPENDIX F - LISA PAHNE STATEMENT.pdf (19M)

APPENDIX G - RONI NICHOLAS STATEMENT.pdf (18M)

APPENDIX H - ANITA LETANG STATEMENT.pdf (7.6M)

APPENDIX I - ANALYSIS77.pdf (3.1M)

APPENDIX J - COVER UPS.pdf (2.9M)

APPENDIX K - CHRISTINE AGNEW.pdf
```