## RESPONDING TO THE LIES OF RICHARD J HANNAH

"No known case!"; "She conned you!"















On 7 April 2015, at 11:05, tony@jahtruth.net wrote: Dear Richard


Thank you for the reply. For the record, I do not agree with everything Ms. Seven thinks about her case.

For instance, Seven's conceptual model is that 1/1 London Bombing attacks were somehow staged in an effort to cover—up her attempts to expose the case. That is simply not plausible in my opinion.

Contrary to how Mark Windows and his band of merry men want to misrepresent me, that is definitely not my conceptual model of the events of the last twelve years. It never has been.

Had Ms. Seven taken up knitting for life, instead of meeting you in Feltham, I believe the terrorist attacks in London would still have happened regardless. The BBC Panorama Programme on 16th May 2004 starring Peter Power is the dead give—away regarding the motive for pulling off such a false—flag stunt. The 7/7 attacks were an extension to the Project for the New American Century. They were UK's equivalent of America's 9/11 with MOSSAD, CIA, MI5 and controlled media involvement.





The attacks were needed to create a phantom enemy for imperialistic expansionism. They were not needed as a cover for hiding the truth over a dozen or so TV light entertainment programmes which have done the rounds.

So, if I disagree with Ms. Seven on that not insignificant point, then why, in the face of such seemingly incompatible viewpoints, do I still stand by her case? Why do I needlessly risk the venom and ridicule of former colleagues plying their trade in the so called truth movement? Is it because these TV Programmes are dear to my heart? No of course not.

The answer lies elsewhere. I was spiritually called out by God to help her fight her case for a season. I can't describe it any other way than that.

Given the ordeal Seven has endured, and the nature of her character, I can sympathise why Seven genuinely thinks the way she does about motives for carrying out the London Bombings. Being wrong with her beliefs on that issue however, does not make her a fraud. Granted, it has not helped her extraordinary case gain popularity within certain truth movement circles, but it takes little away from the merits of her court case. That much I can see all too clearly. You don't need to insult my God given intelligence by trying to persuade me that there were no court cases. Plainly there were.

Returning to 1/1, What I have failed to point out better perhaps, is that her case is not some sort of 1/1 who-done-it-mystery-movie, and should not be treated as such.

It is my view that the best way to explain the events unleashed on Ms. Seven, is to envision it as an exquisite torment. This torment first started about the time she and Lisa Pahne met with you, Jim and Helen at Feltham. The torment continues unabated on Ms. Seven to this very day. Since your meeting, her tormentors have been many and varied. The different tactics deployed on her have been astonishing. I believe Ms. Seven thinks you personally are the one pulling the strings from on high. From my vantage point, I can understand why she might think that. There are some clues in that direction, and I will say no more than that at this stage.

I often wonder if her tormentors have been taken by surprise by her resilience, perseverance and willingness to come back to life and fight on, but I suppose it adds spice to the whole unfolding story that won't ever go away. Collectively, you picked on a good one there, I will give you that. She has played a blinder. Personally, I call her a Rosa Parks of our time. I think there is some merit in that title, but may be only time will tell as to whether or not it takes hold.

When all said and done here, this whole saga is obviously one hell of an elaborately executed con. I will concede that much. Mickey (Adrian Lester) would have been really proud of it. It would make a bloody good feature film, if you would ever show it also from the victim's side as well. But seriously Richard, or should I refer to you as "Maximus TV Programme Sellingus", I don't believe for one moment that Seven is in on this con. Instead, I remain convinced that she has just been at the brunt of a significant part it — a golden goose so to speak. If I am wrong and she has been in it all along, then I would simply have to hold my hands up and nominate her for seven Oscars instead. Somehow, I doubt that will be necessary.

Anyway, I hope you are enjoying the holiday in Spain. Back here, on the Island of Destiny, the weather has been glorious since you left.

Do you actually understand why Ireland is named the Island of Destiny?

In the overall scheme of things, that is an infinitely more important question to ask you, than anything I might otherwise wish to discuss with you regarding dear old little Miss Rosa Parks.

Regards

Tony