Subject: Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil





Subject:	Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil
From:	"Tony" <tony@jahtruth.net></tony@jahtruth.net>
Date:	Fri, October 3, 2014 1:44 pm
To:	David.Crompton@Southyorks.pnn.police.uk
Сс:	Andy.Holt@southyorks.pnn.police.uk Nigel.Hiller@southyorks.pnn.police.uk

Priority: High

Dear David,

According to your Prime Minister, non violent extremists like me, who clearly "peddle the lies about 9/11 and 7/7" should be treated as terrorists. South Yorkshire Police, by their continued inaction, countenance the perpetuation of this warped, evil logic. SYP promulgate and facilitate evil. The sheer deceit of it all cannot possibly be lost on you. You are not lacking in brain cells. The price men and women pay for their indifference to public affairs, is that they become ruled by evil men.

Copied below is David Cameron's deeply disturbing speech last week. My fellow 7/7 Truther and former co-producer of "7/7 Kollerstrom and Farrell are Dead", handed himself in to the police in London earlier this week. Not surprisingly, the Counter Terrorism Unit declined to arrest him. What a sorry state we are in, and for how much longer can you, in your senior positions, keep up the wretched pretense. How do you sleep at night? Does it not turn your stomach to be part of this deceit? Well, if it doesn't, it bloody-well should do. Can't you see that what you - as a government foot-soldier - are supporting is downright evil? Forget not that you are "supposed" to be a servant of the public. Being the government puppet that you seemingly are, rather than a public-servant, is a choice between good and evil in these times of universal deceit.

Isaiah 5:20 King James Version (KJV)

20 Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!

"A [true] patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his government." - Edward Abbey.

Please, I urge you to read David Cameron's speech below and the open letter to Cameron from Peter Drew -<u>http://www.globalresearch.ca/british-pm-david-cameron-non-violent-extremists-including-911-truthers-and-conspiracy-</u> <u>theorists-are-just-as-dangerous-as-isil-terrorists/5405059</u> - and ponder on the massive implications. If you agree with Cameron, would you kindly confirm that by definition, I should once again be arrested by South Yorkshire Police. If you are willing to support Cameron's position, just write me an email and I will also offer myself up to be arrested.

Best Regards

Tony Farrell

P.S. Cameron is referred to as "The Right Honourable", whereas the truth is that he is neither right nor honourable, but is a banksters' prostitute. http://JforJustice.net/tbcre/index.html

David Cameron gave his closing speech at the 69th session of the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA).

The Rt Hon David Cameron MP Read more about the United Nations General Assembly 2014. Madam President, this year we face extraordinary tests of our values and our resolve. In responding to the aggression against one of our member states, Ukraine; in seeking peace in the Middle East; in dealing with the terrifying spread of the Ebola virus in West Africa.

And in overcoming what I want to focus on today – which is the mortal threat we all face from the rise of ISIL (Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant) in Syria and Iraq.

Deir al-Zor is a province in Eastern Syria. Home to the al-Sheitaat tribe, it was captured by ISIL last month. 700 tribesmen were executed, many were beheaded.

The vast majority were civilians - Muslims - who refused to take an oath of allegiance to ISIL's sick extremist world view – and who paid for this with their lives. They are not alone.

Across Syria and Northern Iraq thousands have suffered the same fate. Muslims – both Sunni and Shia. Christians, Yazidis, people of every faith and none. ISIL is not a problem restricted to just one region.

It has murderous plans to expand its borders well beyond Iraq and Syria, and to carry out terrorist atrocities right across the world.

It is recruiting new fighters from all over the world. 500 have gone there from my country Britain, and one of them almost certainly brutally murdered two American journalists and a British aid worker.

This is a problem that affects us all. And we must tackle it together.

Now there is not one person in this hall who will view this challenge without reference to the past. Whether in Iraq. Whether in Afghanistan.

Now of course it is absolutely right that we should learn the lessons of the past, especially of what happened in Iraq a decade ago.

But we have to learn the right lessons. Yes to careful preparation; no to rushing to join a conflict without a clear plan. But we must not be so frozen with fear that we don't do anything at all.

Isolation and withdrawing from a problem like ISIL will only make matters worse. We must not allow past mistakes to become an excuse for indifference or inaction.

The right lesson is that we should act – but act differently. We should be:

comprehensive – defeating the ideology of extremism that is the root cause of this terrorism - so that we win the battle of ideas, not just the battle of military might

intelligent – supporting representative and accountable governments and working with them at their requests, not going in over their heads

inclusive – working with partners in the region who are prepared to be part of the solution, potentially including Iran.

uncompromising – using all the means at our disposal – including military force – to hunt down these extremists.

Let me take each of these in turn.

Defeating the ideology of extremism. The root cause of this terrorist threat is a poisonous ideology of Islamist extremism. This is nothing to do with Islam, which is a peaceful religion which inspires countless acts of generosity every day. Islamist extremism on the other hand believes in using the most brutal forms of terrorism to force people to accept a warped world view and to live in a quasi-mediaeval state.

To defeat ISIL – and organisations like it - we must defeat this ideology in all its forms.

As evidence emerges about the backgrounds of those convicted of terrorist offences, it is clear that many of them were initially influenced by preachers who claim not to encourage violence, but whose world view can be used as a justification for it. We know this world view.

The peddling of lies: that 9/11 was a Jewish plot or that the 7/7 London attacks were staged. The idea that Muslims are persecuted all over the world as a deliberate act of Western policy. The concept of an inevitable clash of civilisations.

We must be clear: to defeat the ideology of extremism we need to deal with all forms of extremism – not just violent extremism.

For governments, there are some obvious ways we can do this. We must ban preachers of hate from coming to our countries. We must proscribe organisations that incite terrorism against people at home and abroad. We must work together to take down illegal online material like the recent videos of ISIL murdering hostages. And we must stop the so called non-violent extremists from inciting hatred and intolerance in our schools, our universities and yes, even our prisons.

Of course there are some who will argue that this is not compatible with free speech and intellectual inquiry.

But I say: would we sit back and allow right-wing extremists, Nazis or Klu Klux Klansmen to recruit on our university campuses? No.

So we shouldn't stand by and just allow any form of non-violent extremism. We need to argue that prophecies of a global war of religion pitting Muslims against the rest of the world. These things are nonsense. We need Muslims and their governments around the world to reclaim their religion from these sick terrorists as so many are doing and quite rightly doing today. We all need to help them with programmes that channel young people away from these poisonous ideologues. And we need the strongest possible international focus on tackling this ideology - which is why here at the United Nations, the United Kingdom is calling for a new Special Representative on extremism.

Working with representative and accountable governments But fighting extremism will never be enough.

Communism wasn't defeated simply by pointing out its flaws – but by showing that the alternative of economic freedoms, democracy and the rule of law, these things could build a better society and a better world. Young people need to see the power of a different, better, more open, more democratic path. The

twentieth century taught us the vital role of representative and accountable governments in offering their people opportunity, hope and dignity.

Of course we should not be naive: not every country can move at the same speed or even reach the same destination. And we should respect different cultures and traditions and histories. But, let's be clear: the failure to meet people's aspirations can create a breeding ground where extremist and even terrorist insurgency can take root.

Governments that only govern for some of their people cause deep resentment. In Iraq the failure of the al-Maliki government to represent all of the people has driven some of them into the arms of the extremists. Too often people have been faced with a false choice between an autocratic and unrepresentative government on the one hand - or a brutal insurgency, with religion misused as its rallying call on the other. To combat this we must support the building blocks of free and open societies.

In Iraq this means supporting the creation of a new and genuinely inclusive government capable of uniting all Iraqis – Sunni, Shia and Kurds, Christians and others.

In Syria, it must mean a political transition and an end to Assad's brutality.

Now I know there are some who think that we should do a deal with Assad in order to defeat ISIL.

But I think this view is dangerously misguided. Our enemies' enemy is not our friend. It is another enemy. Doing a deal with Assad will not defeat ISIL - because the bias and the brutality of the Assad regime was and is one of the most powerful recruiting tools for the extremists. Syria needs what Iraq needs: an inclusive, representative, democratic government that can look after the interests of all its people.

So to those who have backed Assad or have stood on the sidelines, I would say this: we are ready to join with you in a new political effort to secure a representative and accountable government in Damascus that can take the fight to ISIL. But it is simply not credible for Assad to lead such a government. Although we are prepared to look at every practical option to find a way forward. Taking an inclusive approach. Third, we must be inclusive, engaging the widest possible coalition of countries in this international effort. ISIL is a threat to us all. But the greatest threat is to the region. It is very welcome that a number of Arab countries have already taken part in the action to degrade ISIL. They have shown courage and leadership.

Iran should also be given the chance to show it can be part of the solution, not part of the problem. Earlier today I met with President Rouhani. We have severe disagreements. Iran's support for terrorist organisations, its nuclear programme, its treatment of its people. All these need to change.

But Iran's leaders could help in defeating the threat from ISIL. They could help secure a more stable, inclusive Iraq; and a more stable and inclusive Syria. And if they are prepared to do this, then we should welcome their engagement.

Taking an uncompromising approach. Finally, when the safety and security of our people is at stake, we must be uncompromising in our response. That starts at home.

For our part, in the United Kingdom, we are introducing new powers.

To strengthen our ability to seize passports and stop suspects travelling.

To allow us to strip British identity from dual nationals and temporarily prevent some British nationals getting back into our country.

To ensure that airlines comply with our no fly lists and security screening requirements.

And to enable our police and our security services to apply for stronger locational constraints on those in the UK who pose a risk.

Here at the United Nations we have led a Security Council Resolution to disrupt the flows of finance to ISIL - to sanction those who are seeking to recruit to ISIL and to encourage countries to do all they can to prevent foreign fighters joining the extremist cause.

But what about the role of our military?

I don't believe this threat of Islamist extremism will best be solved by Western ground troops directly trying to pacify or reconstruct Middle Eastern or African countries. But pursing an intelligent and comprehensive approach should include a place for our military.

Our military can support the enormous humanitarian efforts that are necessary - as our Royal Air Force did helping the millions of people who have fled from ISIL. And we should – together – do more to build the capability of the legitimate authorities fighting the extremists.

This can mean training, equipping and advising. Providing technology and the other assets necessary for success. Whether it is supporting action against Boko Haram in Nigeria; against Al-Shabaab in Somalia; against Ansar Al-Sharia in Libya or against Al Qaeda in Yemen - it is right to help those on the frontline who are fighting for their societies and their countries and their freedom.

Along with our European partners we have already been supplying equipment directly to Kurdish forces. We are strengthening the resilience of military forces in neighbouring Lebanon and Jordan. And British Tornado and surveillance aircraft have already been helping with intelligence gathering and logistics to help support those taking on ISIL in Iraq.

We now have a substantial international coalition in place, including Arab nations, committed to confronting and defeating ISIL. We have a comprehensive strategy to do that – with the political, diplomatic, humanitarian and military components that it needs to succeed over time.

The UN Security Council has now received a clear request from the Iraqi government to support it in its military action against ISIL. So we have a clear basis in international law for action. And we have a need to act in our own national interest to protect our people and our society.

So it is right that Britain should now move to a new phase of action. I am therefore recalling the British Parliament on Friday to secure approval for the United Kingdom to take part in international air strikes against ISIL in Iraq.

My message today is simple. We are facing an evil against which the whole of the world should unite. And, as ever in the cause of freedom, democracy and justice, Britain will play its part.