

## Minute of Notice

### **Case reference no. ED16017904 - the Crown/Regina v Anthony John Hill/Christ and Stephen Crielly.**

As instructed by the Sheriff, on 15<sup>th</sup> August 2017, We hereby give notice that; on Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> September 2017, at the Edinburgh Sheriff's Court; We intend discussing preparations for Our challenge to the jurisdiction and sovereignty of the Crown/Regina, as agreed to by the sitting Sheriff in the hearing on August 15<sup>th</sup> 2017, as outlined in the Refutation to the Procurator Fiscal of the charge against Us, and The Challenge Document and Exhibits dated 9/8/2017 that accompany it, that are already filed with the Procurator Fiscal (Procurer of Money) who lied to the Sheriff, in court on August 15<sup>th</sup> 2017. The PF lied by denying that she had received the said documents. Then, when offered a copy of the documents in court, she admitted, in court, two minutes later in the same hearing, that she had in fact received them, proving that the PF lied and my point (6) in my refutation, about the PF being dishonest, to be true. The Edinburgh Sheriff's Court and Sheriff also received copies of the same documents.

As the jurisdiction and sovereignty of the Crown/Regina have been officially challenged, as accepted by the Sheriff on August 15<sup>th</sup> 2017, the matter cannot be decided by any Crown Employee or Servant, as they are Parties to the Cause and therefore cannot be considered to be impartial, or be seen to be impartial. Therefore, the Challenge HAS TO BE decided by an independent jury.

R v Sussex Justices, Ex parte McCarthy is a leading English case on the impartiality and recusal of judges. It is famous for its precedence in establishing the principle that the mere appearance of bias is sufficient to overturn a judicial decision. It also brought into common parlance the oft-quoted aphorism "Not only must Justice be done; it must also be seen to be done."

Court: High Court of Justice. Cases cited: KB 256, EWHC KB 1

Judge(s) sitting: Lord Hewart CJ, Lush and Sankey JJ

It is an universally accepted Maxim in Law that no man can judge in his own Cause, or a Cause to which he is a party. Therefore that automatically rules out any crown employee or servant from adjudicating the Challenge, because they are obviously a Party to the Cause.

Therefore on Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> September 2017, during the hearing in the Edinburgh Sheriff's Court, there needs to be arrangements made to facilitate the Challenge to Jurisdiction and Sovereignty being heard by a jury, and the court will need to have DVD video equipment available to show the jury video evidence that is pertinent to the Challenge. Also Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, also known by the criminal aliases Windsor and QE2 must be arrested, or at the very least subpoenaed, to be present in court, to be questioned/examined about her crimes of knowingly and willfully defying God by participating in a fraudulent coronation on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 1953, and fraudulently pretending to be the lawful monarch for 65 years, plus her breaches of contract with the British peoples, her treason against my Father/God, Me and the British peoples, and many other crimes.

Signed: *Christ*

Date: 4<sup>th</sup> September 2017